



The Open
University

Regulations for validated awards of The Open University for institutions offering Dual awards



Effective from 1 september 2015

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	3
INTRODUCTION	5
GLOSSARY	6
A FRAMEWORK OF AWARDS	9
1.0 General	9
2.0 Credit	9
3.0 Validated Awards offered by The Open University	10
B ADMISSIONS	13
4.0 Admissions policy and procedure	13
5.0 Appeals and complaints regarding the admissions process	17
C MATTERS RELATING TO REGISTRATION	18
6.0 General	18
7.0 Period of registration for Open University awards	18
8.0 Interruption of studies	19
9.0 Attendance requirement	20
D ASSESSMENT, PROGRESSION AND AWARD OF CREDIT	21
11.0 Minimum requirements for pass	21
12.0 Identification of requirements from professional, statutory and regulatory bodies	21
13.0 Submission of assessed work	21
14.0 Assessment scores	22
15.0 Determining module outcomes	27
16.0 Provision for viva voce examination	27
17.0 Determining progression and qualification outcomes	27
18.0 Grading and Classification Awards except Bachelor Honours Degrees	31
19.0 Bachelor Honours Degree Classification	31
20.0 Provision for exit awards	32
21.0 Posthumous and Aegrotat awards	32
22.0 Recognition of prior learning	33
E ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT	35
F EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES	37
G BOARDS OF EXAMINERS	40
25.0 Appointment of Boards of Examiners	40
26.0 Membership of Boards of Examiners	40
27.0 Authority of Boards of Examiners	42
28.0 Subsidiary Boards of Examiners	42
29.0 Conditions of conferment by The Open University	43

H	ACADEMIC APPEALS AND COMPLAINTS	44
30.0	Grounds for appeal	44
31.0	Academic Appeals and Complaints procedures	45
32.0	Action following appeal procedures	47
33.0	Appeals to The Open University	47
I	TRANSCRIPTS, DIPLOMA SUPPLEMENTS AND CERTIFICATES	47
34.0	Transcript	47
35.0	Diploma supplement	48
36.0	Certificates	48
	Appendix 1	49
	Content of transcripts	49
	Appendix 2	50
	Outline structure for the diploma supplement	50
	Appendix 3	52
	Content of certificates	52

INTRODUCTION

These Regulations apply to all new student cohorts on programmes leading to an Open University (OU) validated award with effect from 1st September 2015 (or earlier if deemed appropriate by the Partner institution and the OU). The Open University is the awarding body and the qualifications awarded are OU qualifications. However the programmes of study are developed and delivered by Partner Institutions. The Open University and its Partner Institutions have a formal relationship governed by the QAA's UK Quality Code for Higher Education which sets out expectations for academic standards, academic quality and information about higher education provision.

For students registered before the 1st September 2015, the regulations under which they originally registered will continue to apply.

For individual students who are either returning to their programme of study after an approved break from their studies or are transferring to a new or different programme, the regulations of the cohort to which they are returning, will apply.

These Regulations will be referenced in the documentation for each validated programme of study, together with any specific requirements from professional, statutory or regulatory bodies and/or local legislation (for non-UK Partner institutions), as agreed in the validation process for the programme.

In addition to these regulations each programme of study will have a Programme Handbook containing procedures and requirements that are specific to that programme.

GLOSSARY

Apprenticeships students	An apprentice is a student aged 16 or over, who must be in paid employment for the duration of their apprenticeship and will combine working with studying for a work-based, academic or combined qualification.
Assessment component	An individual piece of work or a collection of pieces of work that forms a summative assessment.
Assessment element	An assessment element is a piece of work that contributes to an assessment component.
Award	Open University qualification conferred to a student following the successful completion of an approved programme of study.
Compensation	A means of allowing marginal failure in a limited number of modules on the basis of an overall performance which is sufficient to merit the award of the qualification concerned. Credit for the failed module is normally awarded but the mark stands. Compensation can be applied to the results of a student who has failed to attain the required pass mark at undergraduate level.
Co-requisite module	A co-requisite module must be studied simultaneously with, or before, another designated module within a programme of study.
Credit	A means of quantifying and recognising learning, expressed as 'numbers of credits' at a specific credit level. Within this document it is assumed that one credit represents 10 notional hours of learning (including individual study).
Credit level	An indicator of the relative complexity, depth and autonomy of learning associated with a particular module (used in credit frameworks).
Degree Apprenticeship/Higher Degree Apprenticeship	Higher and degree apprenticeships are available at levels 4 to 7. They combine work with study and may include a work-based, academic or combined qualification or a professional qualification relevant to the industry. Higher apprenticeships go from level 4 to 7 and are equivalent to a foundation degree and above e.g. a professional qualification. Degree apprenticeships are available at levels 6 and 7 (full bachelor's and Master's).

Direct Entry	Exemption to stage of a programme without award of OU credit). Direct entry via stage exemption allows an applicant into Open University validated awards at level 5 or 6 of an undergraduate qualification on the basis of completion of an appropriate certificated qualification from a recognised UK HE programme of study.
Exit award	A lower award than one for which the student is registered. Such an award may be conferred if a student completes part of, but not all, of the requirements of the programme for which he or she is registered.
Institutional Approval	The process through which an institution is judged to be a satisfactory environment for the presentation of programmes leading to The Open University validated awards. Approval is conferred for a period of up to five years.
Institutional Review	The process through which an institution is critically reviewed for the purposes of confirming that it continues to meet The Open University's requirements. Approval is conferred for a further period of up to five years.
Learning outcome	What a learner is expected to know, understand and be able to demonstrate after completing a defined element of study. Learning outcomes features within the programme specification must align with module descriptors.
Module	A self-contained, formally structured, credit-bearing unit of study with explicit learning outcomes. (Some institutions use the word 'course' to refer to individual modules.)
Module specification	A document that defines key characteristics of a module, and includes learning outcomes, models of teaching and learning, and assessment schemes.
Partner institution	An institution approved by The Open University for the delivery of validated programmes of study that lead to Open University awards.
Pre-requisite module	A pre-requisite module is one that must be successfully completed before progressing to another designated module or stage within a programme of study.
Programme	A schedule of academic study and assessment which leads to an Open University award
Programme specification	A document that defines key characteristics of an award, including learning outcomes, models of teaching and learning, assessment schemes, and how individual modules relate to qualification levels and contribute to the classification of awards.

Progression	The advancement (or progress) by a student from one stage of a programme to an adjacent higher stage. Such progression is the subject of regulations of the University and must be confirmed at a meeting of the Board of Examiners.
Qualification level	One of a series of defined points in the Further and Higher Education Qualification Framework (or Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework in Scotland). They are numbered in ascending order. Qualifications at the same qualification level share characteristics and require similar achievement.
Quality Assurance Agency (QAA)	The UK government-appointed agency that safeguards the quality and standard of the higher education awards offered by UK universities. The Open University complies with the codes of practice defined by the QAA and is subject to its scrutiny.
Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)	Assessment of prior learning that has occurred in any of a range of contexts including school, college and university, and/or through life and work experiences. Once recognised through this process, prior learning can be used to gain credit or exemption for qualifications and/or personal and career development. RPL includes Accreditation of Prior Certificated Learning (APCL), Accreditation of Prior Experiential Learning (APEL) and Accreditation of Prior Learning (APL).
Resit	To take again part or all failed assessments component in order to pass a module. Resit of the failed component does not require the student to participate in classes.
Retake	To take all assessments components of a module again, having failed a resit attempt. Retake of the failed component may require the student to participate in classes to prepare them for the second attempt.
Stage	A stage of programme is a collection study consisting of a total of 120 credits. In full-time programmes of study a stage is equivalent to the year of study. Stages are usually commensurate with a credit level.
Validation	The formal process whereby a new programme of study is critically appraised by The Open University, in order to establish that it meets the requirements for approval. Approval of a programme of study is for a period of up to five years before a further validation (re-validation) is required. Within this document references to the validation process also include the revalidation process

A FRAMEWORK OF AWARDS

1.0 General

- 1.1 The Open University validated awards are defined with reference either to the Further and Higher Education Qualification frameworks of England, Wales, and Northern Ireland (FHEQ) or to the Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework (SCQF), but not to both.
- 1.2 Each national qualifications framework provides a set of learning outcomes and skills expected of the holders of Open University validated awards.

2.0 Credit

- 2.1 The Open University uses a UK credit-based system for its validated awards, and it defines these awards primarily in terms of the qualification level and volume of credit required for each.
- 2.2 The main determinant for the volume of UK credit is notional learning time. This is defined as the reasonable measure of time it would take a student to achieve the learning outcomes of the activities. Total notional student learning time includes all activities required to achieve the learning outcomes of a programme, including formal study, assessment, and independent learning.
- 2.3 Notional learning time for a 'standard' full-time academic year is 1200 hours at undergraduate qualification level and 1800 hours at postgraduate qualification level. These are intended as benchmarks only, and the actual time students take to achieve the required outcomes may be shorter or longer depending on the knowledge and skills of students at entry and on their rate of progression through the programme, and the impact of any reasonable adjustments made for students with impairments.
- 2.4 At any given level, 15 UK credits or 3 US credits is equivalent to 7.5 ECTS credits.
- 2.5 Each module of a programme will be assigned a single designated credit level (module assessment is unique to a given credit level). The volume and level of credit assigned to any one module is determined and approved by The Open University at the point of validation.
- 2.6 The assignment of credit to learning should be understood in the following terms:
 - (a) Credit is allocated to a learning activity on the basis of its stated learning outcomes.
 - (b) A student will only be assigned credit after demonstration through assessment of the achievement of the stated learning outcomes. Credit cannot be assigned if no assessment has taken place or if the assessment has not been appropriately conducted.
 - (c) The number of credit points assigned is independent of the standards (grades awarded). Students achieving higher standards will not be allocated more credit points. The higher standard will be reflected in the grade and classification of the pass.

3.0 Validated Awards offered by The Open University

3.1 The Open University confers the following Validated Awards although Partner institutions do not necessarily offer programmes leading to all of these awards.

Award title	FHEQ Qualification level	Overall number of credits required	Levels of credit required
Professional Certificate	4	60	60 at any UG level
Certificate of Higher Education (CertHE)	4	120	120 at level 4
Diploma of Higher Education (DipHE)	5	240	120 at level 5 120 at level 4
Foundation degree (FD)	5	240	120 at level 5 120 at level 4
Ordinary Bachelor's degree (BA, BSc, Bed, BEng) [Exit Award only]	6	300	60 at level 6 120 at level 5 120 at level 4
Bachelor's degree with honours (BA(Hons), BSc(Hons), Bed, BEng, LLB (Hons ¹))	6	360	120 at level 6 120 at level 5 120 at level 4
Bachelor of Music (BMus)	6	480	At least at 120 Level 6 No more than 120 at Level 4 Remaining credits at Levels 5 or 6
Bachelor of Osteopathy (BOst)	6	480	At least 120 at Level 6. No more than 120 at Level 4 Remaining credits Levels 5 or 6
Graduate Certificate (Grad Cert)	6	60	60 at level 6
Graduate Diploma (Grad Dip)	6	120	120 at level 6
Award title (continued)	FHEQ Qualification level	Overall number of credits required	Levels of credit required

Professional Graduate Certificate of Education	6	120	A validated PGCE provision may have only 60 credits at FHEQ level 6, with 60 credits at a lower level. This meets the National Qualifications Framework for this type of qualification and validation is therefore permitted by the University for such qualifications.
Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert)	7	60	60 at level 7
Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip)	7	120	Min 90 at level 7 Max 30 at level 6
Master's degree (MA, MSc, MArch, MBA, MTh, MFA*, MDes*)	7	180	Min 150 at level 7 Max 30 at level 6
Master of Engineering (MEng)	7	480	Min 120 at Level 7 Min 120 at Level 6 No more than 120 at Level 4 Remaining credits at Levels 5 or 6
Taught MPhil	7	360	Minimum 240 at level 7 Remaining credits at level 6 or above
Professional Doctorate	8	540	Minimum 420 at level 8, maximum of 120 at level 7

¹ Bachelor's degree titles of BEd and BEd (Hons) are reserved for programmes of teacher education. Initial or pre-service BEd programmes include a substantial element of teaching practice, and the normal credits of such a BEd programme will be 480. The In-service BEd is designed for students who are already qualified and experienced teachers holding a Certificate in Education or equivalent qualification. Minimum credits: 180.

* These awards have variance in structure and numbers of credits across the sector, as a result the above acts as a guideline on the number of credits which need to be included within the award.

3.2 Students must meet all requirements set out in the programme specification approved by The Open University before a qualification is awarded.

Titles and characteristics of Programmes

A title will be given to an approved programme leading to any taught award and will not be changed without reference to The Open University.

The title will accord with the normal expectations of higher education bodies, relevant professional bodies, students and employers about the level of knowledge and skills to be expected from a person holding such a qualification.

The University recognises four types of honours degrees in named subjects:

A -Subject or field of study degree, for example, BA (Hons)/BSc (Hons) or MA/MSc in Psychology.

B -Major subject or field of study, with a specialist minor (where the minor subject is part of the broad-based field of study that is the major subject of the degree), for example, BA (Hons)/BSc (Hons) or MA/MSc Humanities (Music).

C – Major subject or field of study with a supplementary minor honours subject, (where the minor subject is not part of the field of study which is the major subject of the degree), for example, BA (Hons)/BSc (Hons) or MA/MSc Computing with Psychological Studies.

D – Joint degrees in two approximately equally-weighted subjects or fields of study, for example, BSc (Hons)/BA (Hons) or MSc/MA Philosophy and Psychological Studies.

The Open University's recommended practice for the programme titles that will appear on certificates is that simple, single-subject titles should be used whenever possible.

Where students study more than one subject, up to three separate fields of study may be identified in the title with or without the addition of 'combined studies'.

Where awards include named pathways, the University recommends a minimum requirement of 25% subject specific credits.

B ADMISSIONS

Students registering for programmes leading to awards of The Open University must have satisfied the admissions criteria approved by The Open University at validation.

4.0 Admissions policy and procedure

All first-year candidates are required to submit an application for admission along with the required material. Students may submit an application through the online portal or a paper-based one.

Applications are reviewed by the admissions director and the director of enrolment, who are familiar with a variety of school systems and transcripts.

Application Requirements

Applicants are required to submit the following when applying for admission to the program:

1. An Application Form.
2. An official high school transcript of grades. If you have not attended an English- or Greek-speaking high school, an official translation into English is required.
3. An official high school diploma. If you have not attended an English- or Greek-speaking high school, an official translation into English is required.
4. Student holds an internationally recognized English certificate at least at B2 level (IELTS equivalent: 5.5), which has been received within the last two years.
5. A recent passport-size color photograph.
6. A photocopy of either your ID card (Greek only: ταυτότητα) or your valid passport (all International students).
7. A non-refundable application fee of 70.00 Euro. The application fee should be deposited at one of ACT's bank accounts.

Unless otherwise stated, applicants must provide original documents for all requirements. ACT's admissions office reserves the right to cross-check the submitted documents by requesting official transcripts/degree certificates directly from the institution the student is coming from. In the case that the application form is submitted online, any acceptance is considered 'conditional', until the original documents are provided. This should be no later than the end of the third week of the term.

A copy of the bank receipt should accompany the application. For more information regarding fees and payment procedures please contact the Accounting office +30-2310-398219.

All application documentation should be submitted/mailed directly to the Admissions Office.

Admissions Procedure

1. Submit an application along with the supporting material.
2. Pay the application fee.
3. An interview with a member of the admissions office will be required at any stage of the application procedure.
4. The admissions decision is communicated to applicants in writing and within two weeks from the time of receipt of the complete application.
5. Admitted students are required to confirm their attendance in writing within a given deadline and as specified in the acceptance letter.

Applicants whose application has been rejected may appeal at the ASPC committee for re-evaluation. More information on how to file an appeal or a complaint can be found in the ACT-OU Regulations Section B, Paragraph 5.

Applications receive a priority number which determines the order in which successful applicants register for their first semester of course work.

Transfer Students

ACT welcomes applications from transfer students who complete the following criteria:

1. English language proficiency as described above.
2. Previous academic work is completed at a regionally accredited institution.
3. Courses apply towards the ACT curriculum.
4. Courses have been completed with a minimum grade of "C" or its equivalent.

Notes:

1. ACT considers transfer claims for up to the two thirds of credits required for a full undergraduate bachelor's degree.
2. ACT will not transfer credit for courses that are classified as Level 6 in the Open University programmes.
3. ACT will not transfer credit for the Thesis courses.
4. In order for a course to be considered for transfer credit, it has to be completed within the last 10 years.

International Baccalaureate Credits: Applicants who have received scores of 4 and above on higher-level exams will be evaluated on a course-by-course basis for transfer credit. As much as one year of course work may be recognized, thus entitling applicants holding this diploma to sophomore standing upon entry.

Responsibility for individual transcript evaluation and the awarding of transfer credits for advanced standing rests with the offices of the Registrar and Admissions. Prior to the award of transfer credit a student's transcript is scrutinized with respect to credit hours earned and course equivalencies by the Associate Dean for Administration and Student Affairs and the chairs of the corresponding departments.

Transfer students must spend at a minimum their last two semesters (i.e., their last full academic year) in residence at the College.

Transfer Application Procedure

All applicants are required to submit the following when applying for admission to the program:

1. An Application Form.
2. An official transcript of grades. If you have not attended an English- or Greek-speaking college/university, an official translation into English is required.
3. Evidence of proficiency in English as described above.
4. Copies of course syllabi for all the courses you have completed and for those on which you are currently enrolled.
5. A copy of your institution's catalogue current to your period of attendance.
6. A recent passport-size color photograph.
7. A photocopy of your ID (Greek taftotita).
8. A non-refundable application fee of 70.00 Euro. The application fee should be deposited at one ACT's bank accounts

Unless otherwise stated, applicants must provide original documents for all requirements. ACT's admissions office reserves the right to cross-check the submitted documents by requesting official transcripts/degree certificates directly from the institution the student is coming from. In the case that the application form is submitted online, any acceptance is considered 'conditional', until the original documents are provided. This should be no later than the end of the third week of the term.

A copy of the bank receipt should accompany the application. For more information regarding fees and payment procedures please contact the Accounting office +30-2310-398219.

Admissions Procedure

1. Submit an application along with the supporting material.
2. Pay the application fee.
3. An interview with a member of the admissions office will be required at any stage of the application procedure.
4. The admissions decision is communicated to applicants in writing and within two weeks from the time of receipt of the complete application.
5. Admitted students are required to confirm their attendance in writing within a given deadline and as specified in the acceptance letter.

Applicants whose application has been rejected may appeal at the ASPC committee for re-evaluation. More information on how to file an appeal or a complaint can be found in the ACT-OU Regulations Section B, Paragraph 5.

Applications receive a priority number which determines the order in which successful applicants register for their first semester of course work.

All application documentation should be submitted/mailed directly to the Admissions Office.

- 4.1 This policy should also be read in conjunction with guidance contained in section 22 covering the Recognition of Prior learning.

5.0 Appeals and complaints regarding the admissions process

5.1 Appeals against a decision not to admit an applicant to a programme of study leading to an Open University award are restricted to those that cite irregularity in the decision-making process (for example, failure to make a reasonable adjustment relating to a disability). The process for arbitrating such appeals is that which has been approved at Institutional Approval/Review. Such appeals must be initiated within four weeks of the date of the original decision.

All applications from first-year and transfer candidates are evaluated on an individual basis and treated in a fair and equal way by experienced admissions staff.

All appeal requests are reviewed thoroughly. ACT personnel will not discuss appeals in person, by e-mail, by telephone or any other means of communication, with any individual other than the prospective student. Appeals received from other parties, such as relatives, friends, or advocates will not be considered. Appeal decisions are considered final

Students appealing an admissions decision should take the following steps:

- Submit a personal statement supporting the request for reconsideration. In the appeal, applicants must present new and compelling information that was not included in the original application.
- Submit supporting documentation to substantiate new and compelling information. Examples include an updated transcript, doctor's note or verification of extenuating circumstances.
- Submit a recommendation letter from someone who is familiar with the student's academic potential, preferably a former or current teacher or professor.

Greek candidates may appeal admission denial no later than August 10. International candidates may appeal admission denial no later than July 10.

If students are unsatisfied with the outcome of the admissions appeals process at ACT then they can take their appeal forward to the Open University. If they remain unsatisfied with the outcome from the Open University, they can take their admission appeal forward to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (Further details are available at www.oiahe.org.uk).

C MATTERS RELATING TO REGISTRATION

6.0 General

- 6.1 A student who is admitted for study on a programme leading to an OU validated award must be registered for that programme with the partner institution.
- 6.2 The partner institution will submit student name and contact details to The Open University solely for the purposes of recording student details and progression information. By registering with a Partner for a programme leading to an Open University validated award the student agrees to the sharing of their information with The Open University for this purpose. The Open University will not make any contact with students unless there is a specific need in relation to their studies towards an OU validated award. It is the student's responsibility to keep their personal information up to date with their institution and to notify them of any changes or errors.

7.0 Period of registration for Open University awards

- 7.1 The period of registration will commence on the date the student registers on a programme.
- 7.2 The minimum and maximum periods within which a student will be expected to complete the programme of study and associated assessment, including the time period for any resit assessments, should be those stated in the box below:

All relevant time limits are contained in the Student Handbook
--

- 7.3 Subject to paragraph 7.5 below, a student will remain registered for the maximum period of the award or until they have achieved the award or the registration has been terminated, whichever comes first.
- 7.4 The period of registration may be extended if:
- (a) the student has had to resit or retake a part of their programme of study, see Section D;
 - (b) the student has been unable to study or complete a year of study due to extenuating circumstances, see Section F;
 - (c) the student has been given permission to take a study break as described in Section 8 below.
- 7.5 A student's registration may be terminated if the student has:
- (a) committed a serious disciplinary offence or be deemed as unfit to study
 - (b) exhausted all opportunities to remedy failure or has made insufficient progress through their programme of study at the required stage;
 - (c) formally notified the institution that they wish to discontinue their studies and so discontinue their programme.
 - (d) failed to comply with their financial commitment to the partner institution

For more information, please see Section D.

Students will register for a non-conferrable Open University award. On completion of their studies at Level 4, students will transfer their registration to one of the conferrable Open University validated awards.

Students may register for a maximum of 120 credits in each academic year including a maximum of 15 credits in the Summer sessions. Exceptions are possible only by the Provost's office.

Students may remain registered with the College for a period of four years beyond the expected date of graduation. If a student wishes to complete a program of study after this date she or he must re-register and pay the appropriate fee. The maximum period of registration for an Open University validated award is ten years.

Students should consult with their advisers if they wish to defer their studies, withdraw from a course, or to transfer registration from one course or award (major) to another. Applications for deferral, withdrawal or transfer should then be lodged with the Registrar's Office.

Students are permitted to change a course within the first five days of teaching. Beyond this period, students may withdraw from a course before the first piece of summative assessment takes place whilst retaining the right to re-enrol in the said course in the future. Changes after this deadline will only be considered in exceptional circumstances. Students shall not be permitted to withdraw and then subsequently re-enrol in a course after the submission or completion of the first summative assessment.

Applications for deferral of studies should be supported by evidence of relevant mitigating or extenuating circumstances.

The Registrar's Office will be responsible for notifying the University of the number and registration details of all students entering the programs that it has validated when registering in the first validated course. The Registrar's Office will ensure that the University is also notified of any changes to a student's initial registration.

7.6 The date of termination recognised by The Open University will be taken as the date on which a partner institution records the student's registration as terminated.

8.0 Interruption of studies

8.1 A student may apply, or be required, to take a study break for a maximum period of twelve consecutive months under the agreed procedure for reasons of ill health or other extenuating circumstances (see Section F). This may be extended in exceptional circumstances as agreed between The Open University and the partner institution.

9.0 Attendance requirement

Students must meet the College's attendance requirements if they are to be eligible for an Open University award.

Class attendance is crucial to the College's educational experience.

A maximum of 6 hours of absences are allowed to students in each class each semester.

The most common reasons for absences are minor illness, personal emergencies, and unavoidable travel. Major illnesses requiring hospitalization for three or more days may be officially excused by submitting the hospital documentation to the Provost's Office, which will communicate with the student's professors.

In addition to the number of absences noted below, students participating in sponsored events and activities where they are representing the institution to constituencies from outside the College are permitted a maximum of 5 extra hours of absence.

Absence from a class does not exempt a student from completing the work for that class. Students who are absent more than the maximum number of allowable absences in any course receive a fail grade unless special circumstances warrant an exception; such cases are considered by the Provost's office.

D ASSESSMENT, PROGRESSION AND AWARD OF CREDIT

10.0 The forms of assessment and its weighting and timing, and the ways in which the learning outcomes are to be demonstrated through assessment, are set out in the module and programme specifications approved by The Open University at the point of validation.

10.1 Each programme handbook contains comprehensive details of the assessment scheme as approved by The Open University in the validation process.

11.0 Minimum requirements for pass

11.1 To obtain an Open University award students are required to complete all parts of the programme's approved assessment and comply with all regulations relating to their programme of study.

11.2 The minimum aggregate pass marks for The Open University validated awards are:

40% for undergraduate programmes

50% for postgraduate programmes.

These minima may apply to assessment elements as stated in the wording of the module specifications, but will apply to modules, stages and qualifications.

12.0 Identification of requirements from professional, statutory and regulatory bodies

12.1 Additional academic regulations required by any professional, statutory or recognised regulatory body will be set out in the relevant programme specification and approved by The Open University in the validation process.

13.0 Submission of assessed work

13.1 Work submitted for a summative assessment component cannot be amended after submission, or re-submitted.

13.2 Student requests for extensions to assessment deadlines will not be approved unless made in accordance with published partner institution guidelines as approved by The Open University.

13.3 Where coursework is submitted late and there are no accepted extenuating circumstances it will be penalised in line with the following tariff:

Submission within 6 working days: a 10% reduction deducted from the overall marked score for each working day late, down to the 40% pass mark (for UG) and 50% pass mark (PG awards) and no further.

Submission that is late by 7 or more working days: submission refused, mark of 0.

A working day is defined by the partner and submission after the deadline will be assumed to be the next working day.

Students who fail to submit work for assessments or attend examinations shall be deemed to have failed the assessment components concerned and will be marked as 0.

14.0 Assessment scores

14.1 All undergraduate assessment will be marked on a percentage scale of 0-100.

% Scale Score	Performance Standard
70+	Excellent pass
60-69	Very Good pass
50-59	Good Pass
40-49	Pass
0-39	Fail

14.2 All postgraduate assessment will be marked on a percentage scale of 0-100. Taught postgraduate awards may include merit and/or distinction classification (see paragraph 18.1).

% Scale Score	Performance Standard
70+	Distinction
60-69	Merit
50-59	Pass
0-49	Fail

14.3 The final grade for an individual assessment component will be determined after completion of a quality assurance process (e.g. moderation, remarking) as detailed in the partner institution's OU approved policy for moderation.

14.4 Where the result of the assessment calculation creates a mark of 0.5% or greater this will be rounded up to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.5% is rounded to 70%; 59.5% to 60%; and so on). Where the calculation creates a mark below 0.5% this will be rounded down to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.4% is rounded to 69%; 59.4% to 59%; and so on). For the purposes of rounding up or down, only the first decimal place is used.

Although courses may employ assessment instruments which perform only a diagnostic or formative function, credit for the completion of a course can only be obtained on the basis of one or more summative assessments. A summative assessment provides a measure of the extent to which a student has achieved the intended learning outcomes of a course.

The assessment of a student's academic performance requires a judgment of the quality of his or her work. In all cases, this assessment must be governed by criteria which are explicit and communicated to students.

Faculty are expected to develop rubrics for the assessment of students, and it is the responsibility of department chairs or area coordinators to ensure that these rubrics are consistent with the program specification and other documentation approved at validation.

All summative assessed work submitted for credit in programs leading to Open University validated awards shall be subject to the policy of second marking. This policy extends to all modes of assessment. In implementing the College's policy on second marking, the following procedure will be adopted:

The first marker will provide the rubric for the assessment of the course (6.3, above), the grade assigned to each item of assessed work and a brief justification for this grade.

Second markers will be selected by the department chair/area coordinator from the first marker's department, and s/he should be familiar with the course content. The second marker should test mark a minimum sample of 25% of completed assessments. In all cases the samples should not be lower than five. If the difference between marking scores is less than 5%, the average mark is assigned; if the difference is more than 5%, a discussion takes place among the two markers; a third marker is assigned only in case of a disagreement. All items of assessment for that course should be re-marked if the third marker recommends a significant change in the grade assigned to any item of assessed work. In this event, the entire cohort will be subject to the process of re-marking.

The sample of work for second marking will be prepared by the Registrar's Office. This sample will comprise all items that have been assigned at least a 70% grade or a grade below 40% and a representative selection of the remaining items of assessed work. The sample should include at least some work that will be sent to external examiners (thereby providing them with evidence that second marking has been carried out).

All decisions on grades remain provisional until they have been confirmed at the relevant Board of Examiners

The University is responsible for the appointment of external examiners. Their role is to ensure that justice is done to the individual student and that the standard of the University's validated awards is maintained.

The specific responsibilities of external examiners include:

- the impartial assessment of students comparing their performance with that of their peers undertaking comparable programs of higher education elsewhere and in the light of subject benchmarks and qualification descriptors;
- approving the form and content of proposed examination papers, coursework, assessment rubrics and other assessments that count towards the award, including the approval of alternative assessments and adjustments made for students with declared disability or special needs;
- advising on any proposed changes to the approved assessment regulations or assessment strategy which will directly affect students currently on the program;
- ensuring that assessment criteria are correctly interpreted and that there is parity of assessment across the cohort;
- moderating the marks awarded by internal examiners;
- meeting students and, where appropriate, conducting viva voce examinations;
- ensuring that the assessments are conducted in accordance with the approved program regulations;
- attending the meetings of the board of examiners at which decisions on recommendations for award are made and ensuring that those recommendations have been reached by means in accordance with the University's requirements and normal practice in UK higher education.
- Enhancement-led reporting to the College including student performance and academic standards, appropriateness of curriculum content/teaching and learning strategies as well as on the effectiveness of the assessments and any lessons to be drawn from them
- reporting to the Open University on the required report proforma and any matters of serious concern arising from the assessments which put at risk the standard of the University's award.

Once the process of second marking has been completed, the summative work completed by a sample of students on each course will be provided to the relevant external examiners. This sample will comprise all items that have been assigned at least a 70% or below 40% grade and a representative selection from items receiving intermediate grades.

The size of the samples will be agreed with the external examiners, and they will include some items that have been second marked.

Samples of all assessed summative work will be available for External Examiners to review on campus in the Summer.

Any amendments to the marks of the sample as a result of external moderation must not cause unfairness to individual candidates.

The Provost's Office will receive the external examiners' reports and forward them for consideration by the Academic Council. The Open University also receives copies of response to External Examiners reports.

Boards of Examiners carry full responsibility for the assessment of students in accordance with the College's regulations and for recommending the conferment of an Open University award to students who have fulfilled the objectives of the approved program of study and achieved the standard required for the award.

A Board is established for each program or cluster of programs that leads to Open University awards. The responsibilities of a Board of Examiners are to:

- approve (or establish arrangements for the approval of) assessment tasks, examination papers and project titles both for the initial assessment of students and any subsequent reassessments;
- ensure that assessment tasks, examination papers and project titles are moderated by appropriate external examiners;
- confirm the grades assigned to students majoring in the relevant discipline or clusters of disciplines in accordance with the College's regulations;
- recommend the conferment of awards to students who have fulfilled the objectives of an approved program of study and achieved the standard required for the award;
- review the progress of students, ensuring that they achieve the required learning outcomes and taking into account the recommendations of the AS&PC on students with mitigating circumstances;
- determine the form of assessment that should be offered to those students who have failed or have been unable to take the assessment for acceptable reasons;
- receive and approve recommendations from the Provost's office for the assessment of students with special requirements; monitor the forms and conduct of assessment and patterns of student achievement on the programs for which it is responsible;
- act in accordance with the outcome of any appeals made through the Appeals Procedure.

The membership of Boards of Examiners shall comprise a representative for each course contributing to the program(s) for which it is responsible, the external examiner(s), the relevant department chair(s) or area coordinator(s), a secretary to the board and an OU representative. The chairs of Boards will not be involved in the teaching of the programmes being considered and will be appointed by the Academic Council. Students are not members of Boards of Examiners.

External examiners must be present at meetings of the Board of Examiners which have been convened for the purpose of assessing students for an award or recommending the conferment of an award upon a student (6.6.1 (c) and (d) in the Board's terms of reference). All decisions on grades remain provisional until they have been ratified at a meeting of the Board attended by the relevant external examiner(s).

New scheme for passing a module

Starting in the Fall 2018 term, ACT will be putting in effect a new scheme for passing a module. Details follow:

Every assessment in every module has an associated threshold value (mark), which is set to 25 for all assessments. Students would need to achieve this minimum value (mark) in order to ensure that they have made a genuine attempt at each and every assessment.

In order to pass a module, the student has to:

1. Achieve a mark that is above threshold (25) in all assessments.
2. Have an overall weighted average in the module that is 40 or higher.

In the case that the student fails to accomplish one of the above, they will need to re-sit the failed component and the re-sit results will be capped at 40 as per the current regulations.

Based on this for a piece of assessment, the student can:

1. 'Pass' the assessment: score 40 or better
2. 'Make a genuine attempt' at the assessment: score between 25 and 39
3. 'Fail' the assessment: score 24 or less

Cases 1 & 3 follow the current rules – pass and re-sit respectively. In case 2, if the student has an overall module average of 40 or better, they are seen to have meet the associated learning outcomes of the module collectively to pass the module and do not have to re-sit the assessment.

The above proposal does not apply to the Thesis modules. In these modules, the student has to re-sit an assessment when the mark is below 40 as per the current regulations.

15.0 Determining module outcomes

- 15.1 The overall module mark or grade shall be determined as set out in the assessment strategy detailed in the module specification and published in the Programme Handbook.
- 15.2 A student who passes a module shall be awarded the credit for that module. The amount of credit for each module shall be set out in the programme specification and published in the Programme Handbook.
- 15.3 In order to pass a module a student must achieve the requirement of the module as set out in the module specification and published in the Programme Handbook.
- 15.4 Where a student is registered only for a module (rather than a qualification) the resit provisions set out in 17.3 will apply.

16.0 Provision for viva voce examination

- 16.1 Exceptionally, viva voce examinations may be required by a Board of Examiners (with the approval of external examiners):
- (a) to confirm the progression/result status of a student;
 - (b) to determine the result status of unusual or borderline cases;
 - (c) when there is conflicting evidence from the various assessment components;
 - (d) as an alternative or additional assessment in cases where poor performance in assessment is the result of exceptional circumstances verified through due processes.

17.0 Determining progression and qualification outcomes

17.1 Module prerequisites and co-requisites

- 17.1.1 The paths through which students are required to progress through the programme, and the elements identified as compulsory or optional, are set out in the programme specification and approved in the validation process.
- 17.1.2 Progression through any programmes offered by partner institutions may require students to complete prerequisite or co-requisite modules which are set out in the programme specification and approved during the validation process.

17.2 Stage requirements

- 17.2.1 Each of the stages of an undergraduate programme is expected to consist of a total of 120 credits.
- 17.2.2 In cases where Programmes are not divided into stages (for example, most postgraduate programmes and undergraduate programmes of 120 credits or less) the provisions below apply to the whole programme.

17.2.3 In order to complete and pass a stage of a programme, a student must acquire the total credit set out in the programme specification at the approved qualification level for the award. Exceptions are restricted to those detailed in paragraph 17.5.3 below or have been exempted through advanced standing, or through the implementation of the processes covering extenuating circumstances (see Section F).

17.2.4 The credit value of each module contributing to a stage determines its weighting in the aggregation of credit for a stage.

17.2.5 Where a student fails a module, the following may apply in the first instance:

- (i) Resit (see 17.3 below) – a second attempt at an assessment component following a failure at first attempt.
- (ii) Compensation (see 17.4 below) – the award of credit by the Board of Examiners for a failed module(s) on account of good performance in other modules at the same credit level where the learning outcomes have been met. Retake – a second attempt of all assessment components within a module following failure at the first or resit attempt. Retake of the failed component may require the student to participate in classes to prepare them for the second attempt. This will be confirmed at the Examination Board.

17.3 Resit provision

The board of examiners may, at its discretion, make such special arrangements as it deems appropriate in cases where it is not practicable for students to be reassessed in the same elements and by the same methods as at the first attempt. However, where a validated programme is discontinued, provision has to be made to ensure fair assessment opportunities for all students who have been enrolled.

17.3.1 Resit provision is subject to all the following conditions:

- (a) The maximum number of retakes allowed in a programme leading to an Open University award is 10.
- (b) A student who does not complete the resit by the date specified shall not progress on the programme, except in cases where the process for allowing extenuating circumstances has been followed.
- (c) Resits can only take place after the meeting of the Board of Examiners or following agreement by the Chair and the External Examiner of the Board.
- (d) A student who successfully completes any required resits within a module shall be awarded the credit for the module and the result capped at the minimum pass mark for the module.
- (e) A student shall not be permitted to be reassessed by resit in any module that has received a pass mark, or in a component that has received a mark of 40% or above at UG or 50% PG.
- (f) The resit will normally be carried out by the same combination of written examination, coursework etc. as in the first attempt.

17.4 Compensation

17.4.1 Unless otherwise stated in the approved programme specification, compensation will be applied when the following conditions are met:

- (a) No more than 20 credits can be compensated in any one stage of an undergraduate or postgraduate programme.
- (b) Compensation is not permitted for modules within awards of less than 120 credits in total.
- (c) Examination boards should assure themselves that learning outcomes of the qualification level have been satisfied. The process for evaluating cases will be identified in the programme specification.
- (d) A minimum mark of no more than 5 percentage points below the module pass mark has been achieved in the module to be compensated.
- (e) Taking the module mark to be compensated into account, an aggregate mark of 40% has been achieved for the qualification level of the undergraduate programme (except in the case of MEng Level 7 where an aggregate mark of 50% has been achieved).
- (f) In the case of postgraduate programmes, taking the module mark to be compensated into account, an aggregate mark of 50% has been achieved.
- (g) No compensation shall be permitted for any core project/dissertation/capstone module, as defined in the programme specification.
- (h) PSRB requirements may exempt certain modules from compensation – this will be articulated in the programme specifications.

A student who receives a compensated pass in a module shall be awarded the credit for the module. The original component mark(s) (i.e. below the pass mark) shall be retained in the record of marks and used in the calculation of the aggregate mark for the stage or qualification.

17.5 Options for the retake of study

17.5.1 If, having exhausted all permitted compensation resit and retake opportunities, a student is still unable to pass, the Board of Examiners may, at its discretion, permit one of the following repeat options:

- (a) Partial retake as fully registered student:
 - (i) The student is not permitted to progress to the next stage of the programme but must retake the failed modules and/or components in full during the following academic year,
 - (ii) The student has full access to all facilities and support for the modules and/or components being retaken,
 - (iii) The marks that can be achieved for the modules and/or components being retaken will be capped at the module and/or component pass marks,
 - (iv) The student retains the marks for the modules and/or components already passed,
 - (v) No further resit opportunities are permitted.

- (b) Partial repeat for assessment only:
 - (i) As in paragraph 17.5.1(a) except that access to facilities and support will be limited to certain learning resources for the module(s) and/or component(s) being retaken. Participation will only be allowed for relevant revision sessions and assessments.
- (c) Full retake:
 - (i) This is only permitted where the student has extenuating circumstances;
 - (ii) The student does not progress to the next stage of the programme but instead retakes all the modules in the current stage during the following academic year,
 - (iii) The student has full access to all facilities and support,
 - (iv) The marks that can be achieved are not capped, and the student is normally entitled to the resit opportunities available. However, a student is not able to carry forward any credit from previous attempts at the stage.

17.5.2 Where compensation, resit, and retake opportunities have been exhausted, a Board of Examiners may recommend a student for an exit award as defined in Section 20 below.

17.5.3 With the approval of the Board of Examiners students may be eligible to progress to a higher stage of a programme without having completed the requisite 120 points of the lower stage. They may exceptionally be allowed to do so if either of the following conditions are applicable:

- (a) A minimum of 60 credits at the lower level have been successfully completed including passes in all designated core modules.
- (b) All requirements for academic prerequisites for the higher-level modules are met;
- (c) Students will not be allowed to study at level 6 before having completed and passed all credits at level 4.

Or,

- (d) All requirements for academic prerequisites for the higher-level modules are met;
- (e) The Examination board have approved progression following a successful application for extenuating circumstances, and results are still pending in the student's profile.

The subsidiary board making progression recommendations to the Board of Examiners, should clearly record the rationale for these decisions and be prepared to articulate these at the Exam Board.

17.5.4 Institutions that offer a rolling entry system for qualifications with a high study intensity may find that the timings of examination boards do not coincide with those institutions that offer programmes within the traditional academic year.

Under such circumstances, students are provisionally allowed to progress on the programmes, with the recommendation deferred until the next Board of Examiners which must be held within twelve calendar weeks. At which point the student's full profile will be considered and a formal recommendation for progression will be made (if applicable).

18.0 Grading and Classification Awards except Bachelor Honours Degrees

- 18.1 Validated taught awards, including Masters' degrees, consisting of at least 120 credits at FHEQ level 4 (SCQF 7 for Scotland) or above may be awarded with Merit or Distinction with the exception of ordinary and honours degrees where the award of Merit or Distinction will not apply.
- 18.2 For the award of Distinction, the overall aggregate mark will be 70% or above.
- 18.3 For the award of Merit, the overall aggregate mark will be 60% - 69%.
- 18.4 Where the final result of the classification calculation creates a mark of 0.5% or greater this will be rounded up to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.5% is rounded to 70%; 59.5% to 60%; and so on). Where the calculation creates a mark below 0.5% this will be rounded down to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.4% is rounded to 69%; 59.4% to 59%; and so on). For the purposes of rounding up or down, only the first decimal place is used.
- 18.5 Calculation of a Foundation Degree will be based on the average mark across all modules within Stage 2 (usually Credit Level 5) and Stage 1 (usually Credit level 4) unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.
- 18.6 Calculation of a DipHE will be based on the average mark across all modules within Stage 2 (usually Credit Level 5) and Stage 1 (usually Credit level 4) unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.
- 18.6 Calculation of a CertHE will be based on the average mark across all modules within Stage 1 (usually Credit Level 4) unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.
- 18.7 Calculation of Masters Degrees will be based on the average mark across all modules within a programme unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.
- 18.8 The award of PGCert cannot be awarded with Merit.

19.0 Bachelor Honours Degree Classification

- 19.1 Classification of bachelor degrees will be based on the average mark across all modules within Stage 3 (usually Credit Level 6) and Stage 2 (usually Credit Level 5) at a ratio of 2:1 respectively unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.

19.2 Honours degrees are classified as:

First class	Aggregate mark of 70% or above
Upper Second class	Aggregate mark between 60% and 69%
Lower Second class	Aggregate mark between 50% and 59%
Third class	Aggregate mark between 40% and 49%

19.3 Where students have directly entered a Qualification Level 6 top-up award (e.g. having previously undertaken a Higher National Diploma (HND) or Foundation Degree (FD) award) the calculation for the honours classification will be based solely on all credits at Credit Level 6.

19.4 Performance in work for which an award of credit for prior learning has been made is not taken into account in the calculation of the final award. See section 22 for rules related to credit for prior learning.

19.5 Where the final result of the classification calculation creates a mark of 0.5% or greater this will be rounded up to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.5% is rounded to 70%; 59.5% to 60%; and so on). Where the calculation creates a mark below 0.5% this will be rounded down to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.4% is rounded to 69%; 59.4% to 59%; and so on). For the purposes of rounding up or down, only the first decimal place is used.

20.0 Provision for exit awards

20.1 Programmes must make provision for exit awards at intermediate stages, for which clear learning outcomes must be stated and laid out in programme specifications approved by The Open University in the validation process.

20.2 Where a student leaves a partner Institution with an exit award they may reapply at a later date to upgrade to a higher award on the same programme, if it is still offered by the partner institution.

20.3 A student who has withdrawn from a programme or has exhausted all assessment attempts (as outline in sections 17.3-17.5.2 above) will automatically be considered for an exit award where sufficient credit has been accrued.

21.0 Posthumous and Aegrotat awards

21.1 Should a student be prevented by illness, or other circumstances, from completing the final assessed component of the programme, the board of examiners, having considered the relevant evidence (including medical certification) may make a recommendation that an Aegrotat award be made. Such exceptions are limited to students who are permanently unable to continue their studies and are registered for the final module that would complete a qualification, and have been assessed on at least part of the module. The board must be satisfied that the student's prior performance shows beyond reasonable doubt that they would have passed but for the illness, or other circumstances.

21.2 Posthumous awards are permitted for all programmes. The classification for such awards is based on past performance and aligned to the closest exit award (which may include a classification). Recommended to the Open University Module Results Approval and Qualifications Classification Panel (MRAQCP) for approval.

22.0 Recognition of prior learning

22.1 Students who are able to demonstrate that they have already fulfilled some of the learning outcomes of the programme by means other than attendance on the planned programme, and will be able, by completing the remaining requirements, to fulfil the learning outcomes of the programme and attain the standard required for the award, may be admitted with advanced standing, thus exempting them from some modules or stages of the programme.

If the student's prior learning is not certificated, the partner institution itself will assess the student's learning directly, either by requiring the applicant to take the normal progression assessments of the programme or by some other appropriate form of assessment. This will be laid out in the Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) policy and procedure as approved by OU at Institutional approval or review.

22.2 A student admitted on the basis of uncertificated learning and experience or through prior certified learning is subject to the same principles of admission as all other students on that programme. Subject to the requirements of any Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) requirements the partner institution has discretion to admit a student with exemption from certain elements of the programme or with specific credit.

22.3 A student may be awarded recognition for prior learning (certified, experiential or uncertified), towards the requirements of a named award up to two-thirds* of the total credit requirements for that award.

22.4 Recognition for prior learning (certified, experiential or uncertified) is not permitted at level 6 of a Bachelor's Degree or for the thesis/dissertation/capstone module, where students are expected to complete 120 credits in order to gain the award.

22.5 Recognition for prior learning (certified, experiential or uncertified) is not permitted for the thesis/dissertation/capstone module on a PG programme.

22.6 The Partner Institution will be satisfied that an individual applicant has fulfilled some of the progression and assessment requirements of the programme by means other than attendance on the planned programme, and will be able by completing the remaining requirements to fulfil the learning outcomes of the programme and attain the standard required for the award, that student may be admitted to an appropriate point in the programme.

**two-thirds of RPL is only permitted for full, three-year bachelor's degrees (360 credits) or full Masters degrees (a minimum of 180 credits) and not sub-awards, where the maximum is 50%*

22.7 DIRECT ENTRY via stage exemption (exemption to stage of a programme without award of OU credit)

- 22.7.1 The RPL policy allows for candidates to enter stage 2 or stage 3 of an undergraduate qualification on the basis of completion of an appropriate certificated qualification from a recognised UK HE programme of study.
- 22.7.2 With stage exemption of this kind, it will be recorded on the student's transcript that stage exemption was awarded, but credit for the stage(s) from which the student was exempted would not be transferred to OU validated awards.

E ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT

23.0 Academic misconduct is defined as any improper activity or behaviour by a student which may give that student, or another student, an unpermitted academic advantage in a summative assessment. In investigating and dealing with cases of suspected misconduct, partner institutions will follow the policies and processes approved at Institutional Approval or Review. Any penalties arising from academic misconduct will be levied in line with the AMBeR Tariff (see section 23.4 below).

23.1 The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of academic misconduct which will be considered under these Regulations:

23.1.2 Plagiarism: representing another person's work or ideas as one's own, for example by failing to follow convention in acknowledging sources, use of quotation marks, etc. This includes the unauthorised use of one student's work by another student and the commissioning, purchase and submission of a piece of work, in part or whole, as the student's own.

Note: Where a student has an acknowledged learning disability, a proof-reader may be used to ensure that the student's meaning is not misunderstood as a result of the quality and standard of writing, unless a partner institution policy specifically prohibits this. Where permitted, a proof-reader may identify spelling and basic grammatical errors. Inaccuracies in academic content should not be corrected nor should the structure of the piece of work be changed.

23.1.3 Collusion: cooperation in order to gain an unpermitted advantage. This may occur where students have consciously colluded on a piece of work, in part or whole, and passed it off as their own individual efforts or where one student has authorised another to use their work, in part or whole, and to submit it as their own.

Note: legitimate input from tutors or approved readers or scribes is not considered to be collusion.

23.1.4 Misconduct in examinations (including in-class tests).

23.1.5 Processes for dealing with Academic misconduct and the penalties

An academic offence (or breach of academic integrity) includes any action or behavior likely to confer an unfair advantage, whether by advantaging the alleged offender or by disadvantaging another or others. Examples of such misconduct are plagiarism, collusion, cheating, impersonation, use of inadmissible material and disruptive behavior.

Responsibility for reviewing breaches of academic integrity is held by the AS&PC.

Charges against a student for violating academic integrity may originate from any source: a faculty member, an administrator, a staff member, a fellow student, or from the community at large. The charges are to be submitted in writing to the chair of the AS&PC.

If a member of the Committee originates the charge, then that member will be excluded from the decision-making process, and any other process related to the case.

On receipt of the allegation of a breach of academic integrity, the Chair of the AS&PC must inform the Chair of the Board of Examiners that is responsible for the assessment of the course(s) that are affected by the alleged offence. The Board should then suspend its decisions on the candidate's grade(s) until the facts have been established (8.5, below).

The AS&PC will either itself investigate the charge or establish from its own membership a panel to conduct the investigation. In establishing whether a breach of academic integrity has occurred, the Committee (or panel) should consider oral and/or written evidence supplied by the individual(s) making the charge and the alleged offender. The alleged offender shall have the right to appear before the Committee (or panel).

Once the AS&PC has considered the allegation and reached a conclusion on whether an offence has occurred, it should issue a report with a recommendation regarding the outcome for the student to the Chair of the relevant Board of Examiners. If it has been established that an offence has occurred, the Board will judge the significance of the misdemeanor and exercise its discretion as appropriate to the case. If it is established that a student has attempted to gain an unfair advantage, the examiners shall be given the authority to rule that the student has failed part or all of the assessments, and the authority to determine whether or not the student should be permitted to be reassessed.

Independently on the assessment decisions made by the Board of Examiners, the AS&PC is empowered to consider a wider range of sanctions that might be applied when a student is found guilty of a breach of academic integrity. The following list of sanctions is indicative and can be imposed by majority vote of the Committee:

1. Admonishment Letter (or Letter of Warning): The student is advised in writing that her/his behavior violates rules of academic and/or personal integrity and that a recurrence will lead to more serious sanctions. The Committee will deliberate on whether the letter should or should not appear in the student's file permanently or for a lesser period of time.
2. First Offense File: The student's name and a description of the offense is filed in a shared electronic folder, accessible by the Provost, department chairs and area coordinators. Second offenses automatically result in a hearing.
3. Disciplinary Probation: The student is advised in writing that his/her behavior violates rules on academic and/or personal integrity and is given a probationary period (to be decided upon by the Committee) to show by good behavior that a more stringent penalty should not be imposed. During the period of the probation,

the student is required to terminate association with all extra-curricular activities and resign from any student office.

4. Suspension: The student's relationship with the College will be discontinued until the end of the semester or term. The student will forfeit any fees involved with the College.
5. Dismissal: The student's relationship with the College will be terminated indefinitely. The right to apply for re-admission shall be denied.

Within five working days of receipt of the decision, either party (plaintiff or student) has the right to make a formal written appeal against the decision of the Committee. The appeal is addressed first to the AS&PC. If the Committee does not deem any change to the decision is warranted subsequent to consideration of the appeal, the appeal may then be brought to the Academic Council, and subsequently to the President whose decision is final.

F EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES

- 24.0 The Open University recognises that students may suffer from a sudden illness, or other serious and unforeseen event or set of circumstances, which adversely affects their ability to complete an assessment, or the results they obtain for an assessment. In such cases the partner institution's extenuating circumstances procedures will be applied, as approved in institutional review.
- 24.1 A student who is prevented from attending or completing a formal assessment component or who feels that their performance would be (or has been) seriously impaired by extenuating circumstances, may submit a deferral request. Further information is available from the partner institution.

The following regulations distinguish between factors or circumstances which were known to the student in advance of taking an assessment and which affect his or her ability to attend an examination or submit work by the published deadline, and those which have not impaired the student's ability to attend for examination or meet a deadline for the submission of work but which may have affected his or her performance. In all cases, it is the responsibility of the student to ensure the timely disclosure of any factors or circumstances which may affect the assessment of his or her learning and responsibility for the consideration of these factors and circumstances will lie with the AS&PC.

Students whose circumstances may affect (or may have affected) their ability to meet a program's assessment requirements must submit a completed Mitigating Circumstances Extension Form together with verifiable documentation to the Registrar's Office. This form

can be completed electronically or in person and may, if necessary, be signed retrospectively.

In the case of factors or circumstances which were known to the student in advance of taking an assessment and which affect his or her ability to attend an examination or submit work by the published deadline:

- a) the AS&PC will consider the evidence submitted by the student;
- b) if the mitigating circumstances are accepted by the Committee it will determine the extension to be granted to the student or, in the case of examinations, the date on which the student shall be assessed; in such cases the grades will not be capped at 40%.
- c) the Chair of the Board of Examiners, the Registrar and the appropriate department head/area coordinator will be informed of the Committee's decision.
- d) The student will have the right to apply for a further extension, or for a rescheduling of an examination, if the mitigating circumstances persist.

In the case of factors or circumstances having prevented a student from attending for examination or meeting a deadline for the submission of work but which may have affected his or her performance:

- a) the AS&PC will review the evidence submitted by the student and make a recommendation for consideration by the appropriate Board of Examiners;
- b) the Board of Examiners is responsible for considering that action that it should take in the light of the recommendations of the AS&PC;
- c) the actions available to the Board of Examiners include: the deferral of an assessment to a later date; compensation for the failure in a course; agreement that the student should either retake the course or be reassessed with the grade achieved being recorded in the student's transcript and therefore contributing to the classification of the award; and, exceptionally, a decision that the student be assigned a higher grade for the course or courses on which his or her performance has been affected.

24.2 Students are responsible for ensuring that the partner institution is notified of any extenuating circumstances at the time they occur and for supplying supporting documentation by the published deadline.

24.3 If a student is unable to attend an examination or other assessment event because of extenuating circumstances, they must inform the Partner institution as soon as possible and provide supporting evidence before published deadlines or within 7 calendar days, whichever is sooner. If a student cannot submit evidence by

published deadlines, they must submit details of the extenuating circumstances with an indication that evidence will be submitted within 7 calendar days.

- 24.4 Medical evidence submitted in support of a claim for extenuating circumstances should be provided by a qualified medical practitioner.
- 24.5 The Partner institution will verify the authenticity of any evidence submitted.
- 24.6 Upon receipt of recommendations from the panel or body responsible for investigating extenuating circumstances, the Board of Examiners, or its subsidiary board, will decide whether to:
- (a) provide a student with the opportunity to take the affected assessment(s) as if for the first time, allowing them to be given the full marks achieved for the examination or assessment, rather than imposing a cap;
 - (b) waive late submission penalties;
 - (c) determine that there is sufficient evidence of the achievement of the intended learning outcomes from other pieces of assessment in the module(s) for an overall mark to be derived;
 - (d) note the accepted extenuation for the module(s) and recommend that it is taken into account at the point of award and classification.
- 24.7 The Board of Examiners, depending on the circumstances, may exercise discretion in deciding on the particular form any reassessment should take. Options are a viva voce examination, additional assessment tasks designed to show whether the student has satisfied the programme learning outcomes, review of previous work, or normal assessment at the next available opportunity. The student will not be put in a position of unfair advantage or disadvantage: the aim will be to enable the student to be assessed on equal terms with their cohort.
- 24.8 If a student fails, without good cause, to provide the responsible body with information about extenuating circumstances within the timescales specified in the partner institution policy, the responsible body has authority to reject the request on those grounds.

G BOARDS OF EXAMINERS

25.0 Appointment of Boards of Examiners

- 25.1 For every programme (or subject area in a Liberal Arts or Combined Studies programme) leading to a validated award of The Open University there will be a Board of Examiners whose constitution (including a note of those members constituting a quorum) and terms of reference will have been approved by The Open University as part of the Institutional approval and review process.
- 25.2 In the case of large modular programmes, there may be subsidiary boards with designated responsibility for a cognate group of units or subjects. Where this is the case, the relative responsibilities, powers and terms of reference of the different boards will have been approved by The Open University (as part of the Institutional approval and review process).

26.0 Membership of Boards of Examiners

- 26.1 The Academic Board (or equivalent body) of the Partner institution is required to agree the membership of Boards of Examiners, at the start of each academic year.
- 26.2 All External Examiner(s) for the programme/subject area will be members of the board. In the case where institutions have many External Examiner(s) only will be required to be members of the board where recommendations for awards to The Open University's Module Results Approval and Qualifications Classification Panel (MRAQCP) are made.
- 26.3 Under no circumstances may a student of the partner institution of The Open University or studying for an award of The Open University be a member of, or attend, a Board of Examiners. A person who is otherwise qualified to be an internal examiner for a programme, for example as a member of academic staff or as an approved External Examiner, and is coincidentally registered as a student on another programme either at the same institution or elsewhere, will not be disqualified from carrying out normal examining commitments.
- 26.4 The Chair of the Board of Examiners will be a senior member of staff, commonly a Head of Department or Dean of Faculty, not directly involved in the delivery of the programme/subject area or the assessment of students in the programme or subject area considered by the Board of Examiners, or delegated nominee.
- 26.5 The Registrar (or equivalent) or a nominee at the partner institution – acting with the authority of the Secretary to the Academic Board – should normally be appointed as Secretary to the Board of Examiners.
- 26.6 A member of The Open University staff must be present at any Examination Board where final decisions on recommendations for an Open University award is made and where decisions about progression are made. Although not normal practice The Open University reserves the right to Chair the Board of Examiners or any subsidiary boards.

Boards of Examiners carry full responsibility for the assessment of students in accordance with the College's regulations and for recommending the conferment of an Open University award to students who have fulfilled the objectives of the approved program of study and achieved the standard required for the award.

Board is established for each program or cluster of programs that leads to Open University awards. The responsibilities of a Board of Examiners are to:

- approve (or establish arrangements for the approval of) assessment tasks, examination papers and project titles both for the initial assessment of students and any subsequent reassessments;
- ensure that assessment tasks, examination papers and project titles are moderated by appropriate external examiners;
- confirm the grades assigned to students majoring in the relevant discipline or clusters of disciplines in accordance with the College's regulations;
- recommend the conferment of awards to students who have fulfilled the objectives of an approved program of study and achieved the standard required for the award;
- review the progress of students, ensuring that they achieve the required learning outcomes and taking into account the recommendations of the AS&PC on students with mitigating circumstances;
- determine the form of assessment that should be offered to those students who have failed or have been unable to take the assessment for acceptable reasons;
- receive and approve recommendations from the Provost's office for the assessment of students with special requirements; monitor the forms and conduct of assessment and patterns of student achievement on the programs for which it is responsible;
- act in accordance with the outcome of any appeals made through the Appeals Procedure.

The membership of Boards of Examiners shall comprise a representative for each course contributing to the program(s) for which it is responsible, the external examiner(s), and the relevant department chair(s) or area coordinator(s). The chairs of Boards will not be involved in the teaching of the programmes being considered and will be appointed by the Academic Council. Students are not members of Boards of Examiners.

External examiners must be present at meetings of the Board of Examiners which have been convened for the purpose of assessing students for an award or recommending the conferment of an award upon a student. All decisions on grades remain provisional until they have been ratified at a meeting of the Board attended by the relevant external examiner(s).

27.0 Authority of Boards of Examiners

- 27.1 The Board of Examiners is authorised to determine the progression of students in accordance with these academic regulations and to recommend progression or the conferment of validated awards of The Open University.
- 27.2 All progression and award recommendations are made to The Open University's Module Results Approval and Qualifications Classification Panel (MRAQCP) for them to ratify. The Panel is responsible for approving recommendations for module results and the award and Classification of qualifications (including partner institutions).
- 27.3 All decisions related to a student's progression, final results, and awards, will be approved by a properly constituted Board of Examiners.
- 27.4 No other body has authority to recommend conferment of an award or progression, nor to amend the decision of an approved and properly constituted Board of Examiners acting within its terms of reference and in accordance with the regulations for the programme of study. A Board of Examiners may, however, be required to review a decision, or may have that decision annulled under the Appeals procedure.

28.0 Subsidiary Boards of Examiners

- 28.1 Any subsidiary board of examiners (with designated responsibility for a cognate group of units or subjects) will include all subject-based or cognate group External Examiners.
- 28.2 The rights and duties of External Examiners on subsidiary boards are the same as those of External Examiners on the parent board except that the subsidiary board of examiners only makes recommendations to the parent board.
- 28.3 Subsidiary boards will exercise responsibility for assessing students but will not make decisions on progression or awards. These will remain the responsibility of the parent Board of Examiners.
- 28.4 Once a subsidiary board has confirmed marks or grades for a student these will not normally be varied by the parent board – although the parent board retains the authority to reach its own conclusion on the overall performance and grading of each student. It follows that, while a subsidiary board will be charged with recommending arrangements for reassessment of a failed student, only the parent

board – having confirmed the fail and determined a student’s right to retrieve – can approve the reassessment arrangements.

29.0 Conditions of conferment by The Open University

29.1 The OU may approve conferment of a validated award when the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) The student has been a registered student at a Partner institution at the time of the assessment for an award and the appropriate fee to The Open University has been paid by the institution.
- (b) Details of the student’s full name, full postal address, email address, telephone numbers, date of birth, gender, programme of study, award and all required information have been forwarded to The Open University.
- (c) The institution at which the student has been registered has confirmed that the student has completed a programme of study approved by The Open University as leading to the award being recommended.
- (d) The award has been recommended by a Board of Examiners convened, constituted and acting under regulations approved by The Open University and including all members appointed by The Open University as External Examiners for the programme.
- (e) The recommendation of the award has been signed by the Chair of the Board of Examiners, the External Examiners and The Open University’s representative at the Board of Examiners, confirming that the assessments have been carried out in accordance with the requirements of The Open University and that the recommendations have received the written approval of the External Examiners.

H ACADEMIC APPEALS AND COMPLAINTS

30.0 Grounds for appeal

- 30.1 There shall be no appeal against an assessment result determined in accordance with paragraph 15.1 above, except on the grounds that the approved policy for moderation has not been followed.
- 30.2 A student may appeal against a decision of a Board of Examiners made under section G 27 and 28 above, only if one or more of the following grounds apply:
- (a) Where the student provides written evidence in support of a claim that performance in the assessment was adversely affected by extenuating circumstances which the student was unable or, for valid reasons, unwilling to divulge before the Board of Examiners reached its decision; or
 - (b) Where there is prima facie evidence, whether provided by the student or otherwise, that:
 - (i) there has been a material administrative error; or
 - (ii) the examinations or other assessments were not conducted in accordance with the regulations for the programme and/or special arrangements formally agreed; or
 - (iii) some other material irregularity relevant to the Board of Examiner's decision has occurred.
- 30.3 Disagreement with the academic judgement of a Board of Examiners cannot of itself constitute grounds for an appeal.
- 30.4 An appeal must be made within the time limits and in the manner prescribed in the partner institution's approved appeals procedure set out in paragraph 32 below.
- 30.5 A formal complaint is an expression of dissatisfaction with a service provided or the lack of a service. It must relate to services that students were led to believe would be provided. Students should refer to procedures set out in paragraph 32 below.
- 30.6 Where the student raises a matter of concern that does not meet the grounds for appeal under this paragraph, the matter may be dealt with as a formal complaint. Please note that, even in the event that a complaint is upheld, where there is no right of appeal, an assessment result made under paragraph 15.1 or a decision of a Board of Examiners made under section G 27 and 28 cannot be changed.

31.0 Academic Appeals and Complaints procedures

A student may appeal against a decision of the Board of Examiners. Students' rights of appeal are limited to two grounds:

- either that the candidate's performance in an assessment was adversely affected by illness or factors which s/he was unable, or for valid reasons unwilling, to divulge before the Board of Examiners reached its decision.
- or that that there has been a material administrative error, an assessment was not conducted in accordance with the current regulations for the program or special arrangements formally agreed, or that some other material irregularity relevant to the assessment has occurred.

Disagreement with the academic judgment of a Board of Examiners in assessing the merits of an individual element of assessment does not constitute grounds for an academic appeal. Responsibility for the submission of documentary evidence in support of the appeal rests with the student.

Appeals must be submitted in writing to the Provost's office no later than 14 days following the publication of Examination Board results. On receipt of the appeal, the Provost informs the department chair/area coordinator and submits to them all relevant evidence and correspondence.

The Provost will undertake an initial assessment of the validity of the appeal and advise the student accordingly. In the light of this advice, the student should decide whether s/he wishes to proceed with the appeal. Alternatively, the student may decide to withdraw his or her appeal and/or lodge a complaint in accordance with the College's complaints procedure.

In the event that the student decides to proceed with the appeal, the AS&PC will be convened no later than three weeks after receiving the student's decision to continue with the appeal. The membership of the AS&PC shall not include any member of faculty or the administration who has been involved in the assessment of the student.

The AS&PC will hear the appeal. The appellant may be called to appear before the AS&PC. During a formal hearing by the AS&PC student can be accompanied by a friend.

The AS&PC must inform the student and the Board of Examiners of its decision within seven days of the hearing. The student has the right to subsequently appeal to the President in writing against the decision of the AS&PC. If the appellant wishes to contest the President's decision s/he has the right to lodge an appeal with the Open University. The student will obtain contact details for the President and the Open University at the

Provost's office. If student remains unsatisfied with the outcome of the appeal or complaint process at the Open University, they can take their appeal or complaint forward to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (Further details are available at www.oiahe.org.uk).

The Registrar's Office will keep records of outcomes for all appeals cases. The Academic Council will receive annual summary reports regarding all appeals received by the College

A student may complain against a decision of the Board of Examiners, or any other matter.

Complaints must be submitted in writing to the Provost's office no later than 14 days following the publication of Examination Board results or no later than 14 days following the day of the event/decision the complaint is about. On receipt of the complaint, the Provost informs the department chair/area coordinator and submits to them all relevant evidence and correspondence.

The Provost will undertake an initial assessment of the validity of the complaint and advise the student accordingly. In the light of this advice, the student should decide whether s/he wishes to proceed with the complaint. Alternatively, the student may decide to withdraw his or her complaint and/or lodge a complaint in accordance with the College's complaints procedure.

In the event that the student decides to proceed with the complaint, the AS&PC will be convened no later than three weeks after receiving the student's decision to continue with the complaint. The membership of the AS&PC shall not include any member of faculty or the administration who has been involved in the assessment of the student.

The AS&PC will hear the complaint. The student may be called to appear before the AS&PC. During a formal hearing by the AS&PC student can be accompanied by a friend.

The AS&PC must inform the student and the Board of Examiners of its decision within seven days of the hearing. The student has the right to subsequently complain to the President in writing against the decision of the AS&PC. If the student wishes to contest the President's decision s/he has the right to lodge a complaint with the Open University. The student will obtain contact details for the President and the Open University at the Provost's office. If student remains unsatisfied with the outcome of the complaint process at the Open University, they can take their complaint forward to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (Further details are available at www.oiahe.org.uk).

The Registrar's Office will keep records of outcomes for all complaint cases. The Academic Council will receive annual summary reports regarding all complaints received by the College

32.0 Action following appeal procedures

32.1 The student will be sent a written statement setting out the decision that has been made and the reasons for reaching that decision, together with any actions required by the student or the partner institution to follow up and implement that decision. Partner institutions will align to Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) timelines. The written statement will also include details of any further right of appeal available to the student if they remain dissatisfied with the final outcome of the institution's procedures. This will include, where applicable, the right to appeal to The Open University under paragraph 34 below.

33.0 Appeals to The Open University

33.1 There shall be a final right of appeal to The Open University against a decision of a Board of Examiners only if the appeal is against a decision related to either:

- (a) progression from one stage to another of the programme to the next; or
- (b) a final award;

and both of the following criteria are met:

- (a) all appropriate internal procedures at the partner institution have been exhausted;
- (b) there are reasonable grounds to believe that the partner institution's internal procedures and regulations for dealing with appeals were not implemented correctly or fairly.

The procedure for appealing to The Open University is set out in The Open University Handbook for Validated Awards.

I TRANSCRIPTSs, DIPLOMA SUPPLEMENTS AND CERTIFICATES

34.0 Transcript

34.1 The transcript is produced by the partner institution and provides a comprehensible verifiable record of a student's learning. The standard content of a transcript is listed in Appendix 1 of these Regulations.

34.2 The transcript is issued to the student after each stage of their programme is completed.

34.3 If a student has completed only a part of a programme of study, without fulfilling the full requirements for an award, a transcript is issued.

35.0 Diploma supplement

- 35.1 The diploma supplement is issued to a student solely on the successful completion of a qualification.
- 35.2 The diploma supplement is produced by the partner institution and provides students who have completed an Open University validated award with a formal, verifiable and comprehensive record of learning and achievement. The standard content of a diploma supplement are set out in Appendix 2 to these Regulations.

36.0 Certificates

- 36.1 The Open University issues a certificate for each conferred award. The standard content of a Certificate for a validated award is set out in Appendix 3 to these Regulations.
- 36.2 Students awarded any qualification of The Open University, will be issued with a certificate in respect of that qualification in the name held in formal records at the point when the qualification is conferred. A certificate will not be amended or reissued in a different name if a change of name is notified after the date the qualification is conferred, except in the case of an error by The Open University in recording personal details, or if a valid request is made under the Gender Recognition Act 2004. A duplicate certificate will be issued in the same name as the original certificate (unless amended as above), even if a change of name may subsequently have been notified.

Appendix 1

Content of transcripts

Partner institutions will present the information in the format that they consider to be most appropriate. The minimum requirements for the content of transcripts of The Open University validated awards are:

- (a) Student details
- (b) Details of the qualification, including any professional, statutory or regulatory body accreditation or recognition.
- (c) Up-to-date details of learning and achievement, i.e. modules or units studied, credits awarded, marks or grades achieved and the date and year in which credits were awarded.
- (d) Up-to-date details of non-completion, including the number of attempts taken to complete a module.
- (e) Other types of learning, e.g. study abroad, work placement and work experience, accreditation of prior certificated and experiential learning, or accreditation of key skills.

Appendix 2

Outline structure for the diploma supplement

The following information will be given in the diploma supplement:

1 Information identifying the holder of the qualification

- 1.1 Family name(s)
- 1.2 Given name(s)
- 1.3 Date of birth (day/month/year)
- 1.4 Student identification number or code (if available)

2 Information identifying the qualification

- 2.1 Name of qualification and (if applicable) title conferred (in original language)
- 2.2 Main field(s) of study for the qualification
- 2.3 Name and status of awarding institution (in original language)
- 2.4 Name, address and status of institution (if different from 2.3) delivering studies
- 2.4a Principal location of study (if different from 2.4 above)
- 2.5 Language(s) of instruction/examination

3 Information on the level of the qualification

- 3.1 Level of qualification
- 3.2 Official length of programme
- 3.3 Access requirements(s)

4 Information on the contents and results gained

- 4.1 Mode of study
- 4.2 Programme requirements
- 4.3 Programme details (e.g. modules or units studied) and the individual grades/marks/credits obtained
- 4.4 Grading scheme and, if available, grade distribution guidance
- 4.5 Overall classification of the qualification (in original language)

5 Information on the function of the qualification

- 5.1 Access to further study
- 5.2 Professional status (if applicable)

6 Additional information

- 6.1 Additional information
- 6.2 Further information sources

7 Certification of the supplement

- 7.1 Date
- 7.2 Signature of official certifying the diploma supplement
- 7.3 Capacity
- 7.4 Official stamp or seal of partner institution

8 Information on the higher education system

For this field, Partner institutions will adopt the standard description adopted by the rest of The Open University.

Appendix 3

Content of certificates

A validated award certificate conferred under the Charter of The Open University records:

- (a) the name of the Partner institution at which the student has been registered, together with the name of any other institution sharing responsibility for the student's programme of study
- (b) the student's full name as given on the list of recommendations submitted to The Open University by the institution at which the student was registered. For gender reassignment, The Open University will require proof of the new identity before a new certificate is issued.
- (c) the award
- (d) the title of the programme, as approved by The Open University
- (e) the language of instruction and assessment, where this is not English
- (f) an approved endorsement, where appropriate, that the programme of study was in sandwich mode
- (g) the date the award was conferred.

The Open University validated award certificates conform with specific design requirements of The Open University.

The Open University Validation Partnerships

The Open University
Walton Hall
Milton Keynes
MK7 6AA
UK

Phone: +44 (0) 1908 332 840

email: ouvp-recep@open.ac.uk

Website: www.open.ac.uk/ouvp

Revised June 2018

Designed by LTS Media Corporate team
Front cover image © Andy Hendry

The Open University is incorporated by Royal Charter (RC 000391), an exempt charity in England & Wales and a charity registered in Scotland (SC 038302)